Case 2:19-cv-02621-KJM-DB Document 61 Filed 05/27/22 Page 1 of 4

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 12	Richard W. Osman, State Bar No. 167993 Sheila D. Crawford, State Bar No. 278292 BERTRAND, FOX, ELLIOT, OSMAN & WENZEL The Waterfront Building 2749 Hyde Street San Francisco, California 94109 Telephone: (415) 353-0999 Facsimile: (415) 353-0990 Email: rosman@bfesf.com scrawford@bfesf.com Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF VACAVILLE, JULIE BAILEY, CHUCK BAILEY, DUSTIN WILLIS, and DAVE SPENCER	Fulvio F. Cajina, State Bar No. 289126 LAW OFFICE OF FULVIO F. CAJINA 528 Grand Ave. Oakland, CA 94610 Telephone: (415) 601-0779 Facsimile: (510) 225-2636 Email: fulvio@cajinalaw.com Stanley Goff, State Bar No. 289564 15 Boardman Place Suite 2 San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone: (415) 571-9570 Email: scraiggoff@aol.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs CARMEL GARCIA, M.Y. AND L.Y., minors by and through their guardian ad litem VANESSA RUIZ; L.Y., a minor by and through his guardian ad litem FRANCISCA URIOSTEGUI
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
14	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15 16 17 18 19	CARMEL GARCIA, an individual; M.Y. AND L.Y., minors by and through their guardian ad litem VANESSA RUIZ; L.Y., a minor by and through his guardian ad litem FRANCISCA URIOSTEGUI, Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:19-cv-02621-KJM-DB STIPULATION REGARDING DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF L.Y.; ORDER
20	v.	
21 22 23 24 25	YUBA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT; YUBA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPUTIES DOES 1-5; CITY OF VACAVILLE; and VACAVILLE POLICE OFFICER DOES 6-10; Defendants.	Judge: Kimberly J. Mueller
26 27 28		

STIPULATION REGARDING DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF L.Y. AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Garcia v. Vacaville, et al. 2:19-cv-02621-KJM-DB

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs CARMEL GARCIA, et al. desire to avoid subjecting minor Plaintiff L.Y.,

represented in this action by his Guardian Ad Litem FRANCISCA URIOSTEGUI, to the stress of a

deposition unless necessary;

WHEREAS, Defendants CITY OF VACAVILLE, et al., desire to obtain discovery regarding Plaintiff L.Y.'s claims for damages;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs agree to notify Defendants no later than ninety (90) days before trial whether or not Plaintiff L.Y. intends to testify at trial;

WHEREAS, if Plaintiff L.Y. intends to testify at trial, Plaintiffs agree to produce Plaintiff L.Y. for deposition at a mutually agreeable date regardless of whether fact discovery has closed;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff L.Y.'s Guardian Ad Litem FRANCISCA URIOSTEGUI agrees to provide responses to one (1) set of written discovery consisting of Interrogatories, Requests for Admission, and Requests for Production of Documents pertaining to Plaintiff L.Y.'s claims for damages beyond the close of fact discovery;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs agree that Plaintiff L.Y. will not testify at trial unless Defendants are notified of his intent to testify at trial no later than ninety (90) days before trial and Defendants are afforded the opportunity to depose Plaintiff L.Y. on a mutually agreeable date after such notice;

WHEREAS, Defendants agree not to seek to depose Plaintiff L.Y. unless and until Defendants are notified that Plaintiff L.Y. intends to testify at trial;

WHEREAS, good cause exists to permit the limited discovery referenced herein following the close of fact discovery in order to avoid subjecting Plaintiff L.Y. to the stress of a deposition unless absolutely necessary while also permitting Defendants to obtain information regarding Plaintiff L.Y.'s claims for damages.

STIPULATION

NOW, THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Defendants stipulate and request a Court order ordering that Plaintiffs provide notice Defendants whether or not Plaintiff L.Y. intends to testify at trial no later than ninety (90) days before trial, that Plaintiff L.Y. will only be permitted to testify at trial if Defendants are given said notice and afforded an opportunity to depose Plaintiff L.Y. on a mutually agreeable date, that Plaintiff L.Y. not be forced to undergo deposition unless and until Plaintiffs notify Defendants of

Case 2:19-cv-02621-KJM-DB Document 61 Filed 05/27/22 Page 3 of 4

1	Plaintiff L.Y.'s intent to testify at trial, and that the limited discovery outlined in the stipulation be		
2	permitted, if necessary, to take place following the close of fact discovery.		
3			
4	Dated: May 23, 2022	BERTRAND, FOX, ELLIOT, OSMAN & WENZEL	
5		By: /s/ Richard W. Osman Richard W. Osman	
6		Attorney for Defendants CITY OF	
7		VACAVILLE, JULIE BAILEY, CHUCK BAILEY, DUSTIN WILLIS, and	
8		DAVE SPENCER	
9	Dated: May 23, 2022	LAW OFFICES OF FULVIO F. CAJINA	
10		By: <u>/s/Fulvio F. Cajina</u> Fulvio F. Cajina	
11		Attorney for Plaintiffs CARMEL GARCIA,	
12		M.Y. AND L.Y., minors by and through their guardian ad litem VANESSA RUIZ; L.Y., a	
13		minor by and through his guardian ad litem FRANCISCA URIOSTEGUI	
14			
15	ELECTRONIC CASE FILING ATTESTATION		
16	I, Richard W. Osman, hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures		
17	indicated by a conformed signature ("/s/") within this E-filed document or have been authorized by		
18	counsel to show their signature on this document as /s/.		
19	Dated: May 23, 2022 By: <u>/</u>	s/ Richard W. Osman	
20		Richard W. Osman	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		2	

<u>ORDER</u>

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:

That Plaintiffs shall provide notice to Defendants whether or not Plaintiff L.Y. intends to testify at trial no later than ninety (90) days before trial, that Plaintiff L.Y. will only be permitted to testify at trial if Defendants are given said notice and afforded an opportunity to depose Plaintiff L.Y. on a mutually agreeable date, that Plaintiff L.Y. not be forced to undergo deposition unless and until Plaintiffs notify Defendants of Plaintiff L.Y.'s intent to testify at trial, and that the limited discovery outlined in the stipulation be permitted, if necessary, to take place following the close of fact discovery.

DATED: July 26, 2022.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE